## Appendix 3: Summary of comments received by the LDF Working Group Meeting on 31<sup>st</sup> July 2006

A number of comments on the York Central Scoping Report were received at the meeting in July 2006. This section provides a summary of these comments and the feedback/officer response to these. Changes have been provided to address these matters in the revised York Northwest Scoping Report.

<u>General. That site-specific information should be added to each section of the report.</u>

Officer Response: Additional text specific to York Central has been incorporated into the document.

Objectives and Structure. To include research that has been undertaken and where this can be accessed.

Officer Response: Reference is now made to the Employment Land Review, Housing Land Availability Assessment, Housing Market Assessment Studies. These documents will be available to view during consultation periods at the Council Offices and the main library.

Baseline information. Twenty eight comments were made in relation to this section. These related to: whether the information provided in this section was the most up to date the Council had available, consistency of data, misconceptions arising from phrasing of text, requesting additional information to be provided, double checking data provided and clarity in presentation.

Officer Response: The baseline position has been updated to provide, where it is available, additional information and data to reflect the current situation and more information specific to York Central. Additional information has therefore been provided in relation to the ecological scoping report, flooding issues and Holgate Beck, relationship of York Central to the historic core, the historical and archaeological importance of York Central, air quality issues, the transport study undertaken by Faber Maunsell and recreational and educational provision in the vicinity.

Figure 7. The key sustainability issues should include water usage efficiency, reduction of poor air quality and generation of renewable energy on site.

Officer Response: These issues have now been included.

Ecological Footprint. Clarification of the use of an ecological footprint and checking of data and accuracy of text was requested.

Officer Response: Changes to the text, where information has been available, have been made.

Framework and Setting of Objectives. Figure 11. More clarity was requested in relation to the purpose and illustration of this matrix.

Officer Response: Paragraph 8.4.1 has been amended to emphasise that the matrix does not cover all tensions, which may be identified and provides only a limited number of examples. An assessment of tensions to be identified will take place within the Sustainability Appraisal of the Issues and Options document.

Figure 12. Additional indicators and more specific working recommended for some objectives in this section were requested.

Officer Response: Where possible indicators have been provided and the wording of sub objectives have been rephrased in accordance with suggestions made.